Studio syllabi

1. Data on the study programme

1.1 Institution	Technical University of Cluj-Napoca
1.2 Faculty	of Architecture and Urban Planning
1.3 Department	Urban Planning
1.4 Domain	Architecture
1.5 University level	Licence and master's degree
1.6 Study programme/Qualification	Architecture
1.7 Form of studies	IF – on-site full-time studies
1.8 Course / studio code	79.00

2. Data on the course

2.1 Name of the course	9	DESIGN S	DESIGN SYNTHESIS STUDIO 4			
2.2 Course/ Studio Hea	d	Associate professor Vlad Sebastian Rusu, Arch. PhD				
2.3 Head of seminary/ laboratory/ studio		-				
2.4 Study year	V	2.5 Semeste	5 Semester 2 2.6 Type of evaluation		2.6 Type of evaluation	Colloquy
2.7 Course /studio	Formative category: fundamental (DF)/ linked to the domain (DD)/ specific (DS)/ complementary (DC)			0 ,		DS
regime Compulsor		lsory (DI)/ (Optional	/ (DOp)/ Voluntary (DFac)	DI

3. Total estimated time

3.1 Number of	12	out of	3.2	0	3.3	0	3.3	0	3.3	12
hours/week	12	which:	Course		Seminary		Laboratory		Project	
3.4 Number of	168	out of	3.5	0	3.6	0	3.6	0	3.6	168
hours/semester	100	which:	Course		Seminary		Laboratory		Project	
3.7 Distribution of time	(hour	s)/ semes	ter for:							
(a) Individual study sup	portec	by cours	e textbo	ok, co	urse text, bi	bliogr	aphy, and n	otes		30
(b) Supplementary study in the library, online, and on site						40				
(c) Preparation for seminaries/ laboratories/ assignments, reports, portfolios, and essays						92				
(d) Tutoring							-			
(e) Examination						20				
(f) Other activities						-				

3.8 Total hours of individual study (sum (3.7(a)3.7(f)))	182
3.9 Total semestrial hours (3.4+3.8)	350
3.10 Number of credits	14

4. Preconditions (where applicable)

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
4.1 curriculum	
preconditions	
4.2 competence preconditions	Reaching a level and a professional responsibility that ensures the development of complex architectural projects.

5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1. for the course	-

	The activity takes place physically in the fifth year studio.
	The presence of students is mandatory, according to the
E 2 for the studio	current ECTS Regulation. The project will be developed in
5.2. for the studio	groups by two students. The Microsoft Teams online
	platform is used for communication related to the workshop
	activity.

6. Specific competencies

- Ability to act with knowledge of historical and cultural precedents in local and world architecture.
- Understanding of heritage issues in the built environment.
- Technical knowledge of structure, materials, and construction.
- Awareness of the impact of geotechnical conditions on construction
- Understanding of the impact of climate on urban and architectural design and construction.
- Ability to act with innovative technical competence in the use of building techniques and the understanding of their evolution.
- Understanding of the processes of technical design and the integration of structure, construction technologies and services systems into a functionally effective whole.
- Understanding of services systems as well as systems of transportation, communication, maintenance, and safety.
- Awareness of the role of technical documentation and specifications in design realisation, and of the processes of construction, cost, planning and control.

7. Objectives of the discipline

7.1 General objective of the discipline	 Developing students' skills in the field of architectural design by developing urban and architectural solutions on a site located in the protection zone of historical monuments.
7.2 Specific objectives	 Development of a complex architectural project, conditional on the existence of an architectural and urban heritage. Definition and presentation of an integrated architectural-urban concept. Graphic presentation and public support of the project.

8. Content/Syllabi

8.1 Course	No. of hours	Teaching methods	Notes	
-				
8.2 Seminary / laboratory / project	No. of hours	Teaching I	methods	Notes
First semester	168	individual correction	applications, corrections, collective as, evaluation with aport of the projects.	-

Bibliography

- 1. Ernst Neufert, Design and construction elements, Alutus S.A., Miercurea Ciuc, 2004.
- 2. Gheorghe Vais, Architectural Programs, UT Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2008.
- 3. Adrian Iancu, Teodora Balan, Andrei Nejur, Vlad Rusu, Szende Szentesi, Smaranda Todoran, Calin Spanu, *Story of a projec*t, UT Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2012.
- 4. Architecture magazines existing in the Faculty Library: *El Croquis, The Architectural Review, Detail* etc.

Other titles:

5. Internet sources: Archdaily.com, Architizer.com, Divisare.com, etc.

9. Harmonizing the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, the professional associations, and representative employers

Students acquire the necessary skills and knowledge in the responsible exercise of the profession of architect, become familiar with the collective work process, practice the verbal and graphic expression of their own concepts and attitudes, become aware of the role and responsibility they acquire as the coordinator of some projects complex.

10. Assessment

10. Assessment			10.3
			Calculat
Type pf	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Assessment method	ion of
activity			final
			grade
	-	-	-
	According to the design theme, the	During the semester, there will be	100%
	following criteria will be taken into	discussion that evaluate without	20070
	account in evaluating the solutions:	marking important stages of the	
		project:	
	A. Satisfying architectural-urbanistic	Fr 5,000.	
	functional needs	Discussion 1	
		Analysis and documentation / Site	
	A1. The functional criterion	selection. Architectural and urban	
	The proposed use scenarios, the solution	concept	
	of accesses and routes from an urban and		
	architectural point of view will be scored.	Discussion 2	
		Detailing the volume and	
	A2. The financial criterion	architectural expression of the	
	The rationality and sustainability of the	object	
10.5	spatial functional solution will be	,	
Seminary/Lab	appreciated.	Discussion 3	
oratory	The second secon	Interior scenography	
'	A3. Quality and clarity of representation		
	of ideas	Discussion 4	
	The legibility and general expressiveness	Technical detail and structural	
	of the pieces presented will be	diagram	
	appreciated, respectively the way in		
	which they manage to express the	Final discussion - with grading -	
	qualities of the project.	Evaluation of the project.	
	B. Added architectural-artistic value		
	B1. The character and general		
	atmosphere of the intervention		
	The general quality of the intervention,		
	the proposed ambience, the specific		

design solutions that highlight the particularities of the site will be scored.

B2. The architectural-historical criterion The integration of the project in the area, the relationship with the existing built fund and the way in which the existing valuable elements are highlighted will be

B3. The architectural-innovative criterion
The way in which the architectural
expression is adapted to the proposed
program will be scored and the spatial
innovation will be appreciated.

10.6 Minimal standard for passing

pointed out.

• a grade of minimum 5

Date :	Head of course	Title, Name, Surname	Signature
14.07.2023	Course	Associate professor Vlad Sebastian Rusu, Arch. PhD	
	Seminary/Lab	-	-

Date of validation by the Department Council:	Chief of Department
	Associate professor Vlad Sebastian
	Rusu, Arch. PhD
Data of approval in the Faculty Council:	Dean
	Associate professor Dragoș Șerban Ion
	Ţigănaș, Arch. PhD